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I. Introduction

On December 31, 1894, President Jorge Montt (1891-1896) sent a bill to the Chilean National Congress proposing a new Code of Criminal Procedure. At that time he apologized for the content of the bill. He openly admitted that it was a bad bill, but he urged its passage despite its shortcomings. The Criminal Procedure Code (“Código de Procedimiento Penal”) entered into force in 1907, keeping alive the old inquisitorial system.

In this article, we do not pretend to explain what happened between 1907 and 2000 (when the criminal reform started as a pilot program in two of the thirteen regions that form Chile). To get a good perception of the frustrations that the Chilean society had with the judiciary – the lack of the court’s independence, the obstacles to due process of law at all levels, Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, and local courts, the corruption in the judicial system, and other symptoms of the crisis – it is enough to consult with El Libro Negro de la Justicia en Chile (1999), a book prepared by journalist Alejandra Matus (and prohibited for some time).
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In the ’90s, during the period called Transition to Democracy (“Transición a la Democracia”), a movement of intellectuals and professors re-started the debate which had been interrupted by the dictatorship between 1973 and 1990. This debate found common ground in the insistence that the judiciary had to be reformed, especially in the area of criminal justice. There was widespread dissatisfaction with the passivity of the judiciary during the dictatorship when there was absolutely no protection for the most basic human rights.

In this article, we will explore the process of legal reform which has begun to transform the administration of justice in Chile. Responding to a long-standing urge to reform, and after several years of negotiations, the reformation of the code of criminal justice began in earnest on December 16, 2000, in the form of pilot programs in several regions throughout the country. These pilot programs provide a unique opportunity to test the new process. In June of 2005, this period of reformation will culminate in its application in Chile’s largest city, Santiago. How well this reformation works in Santiago will have profound implications on its continuing application throughout Chile. This transformation is a complete paradigm shift and can be understood as almost revolutionary. It will produce significant change both institutionally and culturally. It will be observed closely throughout Latin America and may well provide a useful model and guide for judicial reform for other countries in the region.

II. Characteristics of the Process of Criminal Procedure Reform in Chile

The old Chilean system was inherited from Spain during the initial coloniza-
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was true throughout all of Latin America, but Chile adhered to an “orthodox” inquisitorial model.8

A hallmark of the inquisitorial system was the fact that it was, for the most part, a written system. Investigation was assigned to low level functionaries, many of whom had absolutely no training in the law. There was little or no contact between the parties and between the parties and the judge. Cases could, and did, linger for years. Because of these factors, most cases were appealed, making final decisions even more distant.

The inability to present clear and objective policy to law enforcement agencies resulted in a lack of professionalism among the police, which in turn contributed to a culture of corruption and abuse.9

By the end of the last century, most Latin American countries had moved into some form of mixed inquisitorial and adversarial system. After the period of transition, which began in 2000, Chile will have a completely adversarial system.10

In this section, we will explore the main characteristics of the new system of criminal procedure: (a) radical separation between the investigatory and decision making functions, (b) replacement of the written system with an oral system, (c) creation of a system for prosecutorial discretion, (d) incorporation of alternative forms of dispute resolution within the criminal system, and (e) creation of the guarantee judge and the three oral judge panels.11

A. A radical separation between the investigatory and decision making functions

The new system totally separates the prosecutorial from the decision making process. The Public Ministry was created, with constitutional autonomy, to take on prosecutorial duties.12 This agency was created to resolve issues of impartiality and objectivity within the area of criminal investigation. It has also tended to reduce bureaucracy and professionalize police activity.

The “Poder Judicial,” literally, Judicial Power, was reformed to do what the judiciary should do, namely to judge, unencumbered by the requirements of investigation and prosecution.


9 See generally Cooper, supra note 8.

10 VERA INSTITUTE REPORT, supra note 5, at 1.

11 In this document we do not pretend to offer a general description of the new system. For that, see generally, Carlos Rodrigo de la Barra Cousiño, Chile: Adversarial vs. Inquisitorial Systems: The Rule of Law and Prospects for Criminal Procedure Reform in Chile, 5 SW. J. L. & TRADE AM. 323 (1998).

Reform to the Criminal Justice System in Chile

The judicial reform establishes two new types of judges. The first are called Guarantee Judges ("Jueces de Garantía") referring to their role in guaranteeing due process. The guarantee judges review the evidence throughout the course of the prosecution. They can take guilty pleas and preside over trials. The second type of judges are those who hear oral arguments ("Tribunal Oral en lo Penal"). Oral arguments are trials similar to the trials before guarantee judges. The difference here is that there is a panel of three judges who hear the case. Their decision does not have to be unanimous. The decision as to whether to have a trial before a guarantee judge or an oral argument lies in the hands of the accused.

A system of public defenders was also created. The public defender, established on a national level with regional offices, is charged with the legal defense of the poor. Beyond this, of course, is its role as one of the foundations of the adversarial system. The public defenders are augmented by a public system of contract attorneys ("licitaciones públicas"). A public announcement is made, by newspaper, soliciting proposals for private attorneys to accept a set of future criminal cases. Responses are evaluated by the public defender. Criteria used for these selections include: the number of attorneys in the particular office, their experience and qualifications, previous cases, administrative support within their office, and generally, their level of professionalism. The assignments are subject to regular review and oversight and effectiveness is evaluated by observation at trial and inspection of files. An attorney can be precluded from accepting further solicitations due to failure to meet these standards.

B. Replacement of the written system with an oral system

The system in which litigation is reduced to written complaints and answers has deep roots within Latin American legal systems. While all of the legal systems have attempted some form of modification, the written system seems to find ways to revive itself. Sometimes it finds expression in the oral presentation of written material. But the commitment to a system of oral argument is essential for the success of judicial reform and especially the reformation of criminal justice. The requirement for written material slows the process, places barriers between the parties and the judges, and ritualizes the proceedings. Oral argument, on the other hand, infuses the hearing with a sense of dynamism. It permits free and open debate and allows the judge or judges to require clear and logical presentations on both sides. This, in turn, allows the judges to make decisions based on cogent facts, which promotes respect not only for the final decision, but for the judicial system as a whole, while also protecting the interests of the accused.

All of this presupposes a well-structured trial with careful and thorough preparation, clear presentation, fair decision making throughout the trial, and just resolution of the conflict. This complex dynamic can be undermined at any point

---
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without proper legal foundation and continuing education. Educational modalities including role-playing, trial simulation, and advocacy training must be provided for judges, prosecutors, and defense attorneys as they practice in this new system.

C. Creation of a system for prosecutorial discretion

A further modification is the area of prosecutorial discretion. It can be assumed that no criminal investigation is 100% accurate or that there might be other reasons for prosecutors to decide not to prosecute a particular case. Some criteria have been set up to guide prosecutors as they determine how to proceed on a case. Over time, it is hoped that these criteria will evolve out of an open and public debate of this issue.

Chilean prosecutors can elect not to proceed on a case based on the following:

1. Either no crime was committed or the statute of limitations has expired. In a case such as this, the victim can ask a superior in the office of the Public Ministry (“Fiscal Regional” or “Fiscal Nacional”) to review the prosecutor’s decision, and ultimately a guarantee judge can make the final decision.
2. When there is insufficient evidence to continue the investigation. In this case, the investigative material is placed in an archive which can be re-opened in light of new evidence. As in the first case, this decision can be reviewed by a higher level prosecutor, and ultimately can be heard by a guarantee judge.
3. When it is not in the public interest to prosecute, in other words, there is what is termed “relevant evidence” of a crime, but the prosecutor chooses not to proceed. This decision is limited to minor crimes, carrying sentences of less than eighteen months imprisonment, and is not available in the prosecution of public officials accused of official wrongdoing.15

These forms of prosecutorial discretion are important in the new system because the allocation of resources is always a consideration. Yet, the potential for abuse is curtailed through the selectivity exercised by the prosecutors and review and revision of discretionary decisions.

D. Incorporation of alternative forms of dispute resolution within the criminal system

Another aspect of the new system, which is distinctive to the Chilean process, is the institution of alternative forms of dispute resolution.16 These alternatives suspend the proceedings while some sort of economic resolution between the parties can be reached, or an agreement between the defendant and the prosecutor can be reached. In regards to criminal matters, this practice is known as Condi-

---

15 CÓDIGO PROCESAL PENAL, supra note 13. For an analysis of prosecutorial discretion in the Chilean system, see Cousiño, supra note 11, at 338-346.
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tional Suspension of Proceedings ("suspensión condicional del procedimiento") in which the prosecutor and the accused reach an agreement to end the prosecution. This is available for lesser crimes, which carry sentences of less than three years and require that the defendant have no previous criminal history. In effect, the Chilean judicial reform amplified the term "criminal justice" by recognizing the state’s power to prosecute, while at the same time contemplating ways of reducing re-offending and re-victimization. Some of the conditions which can be part of the agreement could include:

1. That the defendant reside in a specific location;
2. That the defendant avoid certain specific persons or locations;
3. That the defendant submit to psychological or medical treatment;
4. That the defendant have either a job or be enrolled in some type of educational program;
5. That the defendant pay restitution to the victim;
6. That the defendant report to the Ministry of Justice periodically; and
7. That the defendant have a fixed residence and inform the Ministry of Justice when he moves.\textsuperscript{17}

These conditions, which are agreed to by the defendant and the prosecutor, are then presented to a guarantee judge for ratification and are formally entered as an order of the court.

Another form of alternate dispute resolution is restitution ("acuerdo reparatorio"), which usually is available for property crimes and less serious offenses.\textsuperscript{18} These types of negotiations can be done between the offender and the victim, but they must be ratified by a guarantee judge, and the prosecutor can oppose such agreements as not being in the public interest.

Many of these types of agreements have the characteristics of “Restorative Justice” because they are agreements between the victim and the offender in which both can relate to the other’s life experience, while at the same time reducing excuses often made by offenders.\textsuperscript{19}

Finally, there is a third type of alternative dispute resolution which is somewhat different from the other two. It is the North American system of “plea bargaining” and consists of negotiations between the prosecutor and the defense attorney in which each suggests a possible sentence.\textsuperscript{20} This usually involves crimes carrying sentences of less than five years incarceration. All of this assumes a complete disclosure of evidence. The final agreement must be taken to a guarantee judge who has final control over the sentence and who also reviews the evidence.

\textsuperscript{17} Id.

\textsuperscript{18} CÓDIGO PROCESAL PENAL, supra note 13, at bk. 2, tit. I, para. 6.

\textsuperscript{19} See generally Rafael Blanco et al. Justicia Restaurativa: marco teórico, experiencias comparadas y propuestas de política pública, in COLECCION DE INVESTIGACIONES JURIDICAS UNIVERSIDAD ALBERTO HURTADO (2004) (proposing the use of “suspensiones condicionales del procedimiento” and “acuerdos reparatorios” as practical mechanisms to promote restorative justice in the Chilean legal culture).

\textsuperscript{20} CÓDIGO PROCESAL PENAL, supra note 13, at bk. 1, tit. V, para. 6.
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E. Creation of the guarantee judge and the three oral judge panels

Finally the complaint is brought by the prosecutor to a guarantee judge who will determine the evidence that can be presented in the oral, adversarial trial in front of the three judge panel. It will feature direct examination following the rules of evidence, cross examination, and careful observation of the presentation of evidence by both sides. The trial itself is controlled by the presiding judge who follows the rules of procedure, and resolves, with his or her peers, the objections entered by the parties during the examinations and cross examinations. The judge also enforces the rules of evidence and rules regarding the manner in which witnesses are questioned.

Crimes of a less serious nature are tried before an impartial judge. To question a sentence, whether it is a misapplication of the law or violates due process, the defendant has recourse to the Court of Appeals and ultimately to the Supreme Court. Either of these could send the case back to a three judge panel, but a different panel from the one which originally heard the case.

III. On the road to reforming the criminal justice system – Where are we now?

In this section we will explore where we are on the road to a new system of criminal justice, and what we have experienced, in order to show evidence of achievements and successes in this reformation. The distinct elements on the scene at the moment can be summarized as follows:

A. The application of the reform as a system of pilot programs and gradual application

The reform process in Chile differed from similar processes in other Latin American countries in that it was not applied simultaneously throughout the entire country. On the contrary, a decision was made to begin the program in two regions, one in the north (Second Region of Antofagasta) and the other in the south (Ninth region of La Araucanía). The idea was to test the new process in an environment that could be controlled and monitored, identifying problems as they arose. This would allow for time and flexibility to adjust problems before beginning the process in other areas. Given that these changes had an impact on the normal routines and practices, for example, the creation of the Public Ministry, it was imperative to study the effect on the various agencies, both new and existing, in the system. The strength of the plan was in its ability for prosecutors, defense attorneys, and judges to work at an inter-agency level doing simulations to see how trials would be conducted in the new system.

The regions selected for the first stage were chosen based on the following criteria:

21 Código Procesal Penal, supra note 13, at bk. 2, tits. I-III.
22 Id.
23 Id.
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1. Regions in which the legal community clearly expressed a desire to assume this responsibility;
2. The existence of active law schools in the regions;
3. A homogeneous level of criminal activity, that is, that the distribution of crime followed general categories without too wide a variance that could distort the sample;
4. Urban areas with concentrated population centers (Antofagasta and Calama in the north, Temuco and Villarrica in the south); and
5. Regions having a level of technological development and information services sufficient to test the new technologies that would be associated with the reform.

The idea was to have a high level of supervision and control over problems that would arise during the entire process. It was necessary to recognize new practices which would naturally develop and prove to be central to the new system. It was also important to give the participants in the process a sense of responsibility and ownership, as well as control over the inevitable tension that develops in periods of voluntary change.

Almost all of the other Latin American countries have chosen to impose judicial reform throughout the country at one time, or have considered it prudent to follow a more gradual development. Such is the case in Venezuela and Paraguay which chose to apply their reforms gradually, either developing new rules of investigation and prosecution and then going on to change the role of the judiciary, or opting first to change the role of the judge, and then modifying the Code of Procedure.

B. Beginning the reform without transferring old cases to the new system

Another aspect to consider in the Chilean experience is the fact that the process began to work without taking on cases which had already been filed in the old system. It was easier for the prosecutors, defense attorneys, and judges to proceed without the baggage of the older system, which, for example, had a method of case distribution that slowed the process. Also, the old system did not permit the prosecutorial discretion that the new system allows. It was important for the players to assume their own method of administration during this “gestation period.”

Another very helpful tool was a computer program that analyzed statistical data about cases in the test regions, allowing the agencies to anticipate workload, length of time for specific trials, and the length of time for investigation. The data allowed the agencies to determine just how many prosecutors, defense attor-
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neys, and judges would be needed to complete cases within a reasonable amount of time, as well as to calculate the possible number of cases that could be completed within the year that the test system would be working.  

C. The design and redesign of critical processes

Another factor that assisted the smooth application of the new system related to studies which focused on designing administrative practices providing the best way to reach strategic goals. Economic studies as well as sociological studies provided a framework not only for later evaluation of the process, but also for anticipating what the agencies might expect in the face of the goals and expectations of the new system.  

D. Successful training and legal research

A critical element in this process was preparing and applying training modalities. Initially there was not a lot of confidence within the agencies that they would be able to create new processes on their own, and there was also a low expectation that the legal system itself could change in any significant manner. So it became necessary to design training that focused on the inherent strengths in the system, such as oral presentation, open access to courts, and relative speed of resolution.  

The actual training consisted of trial simulations in which the prosecutors, defense attorneys, and judges were able to experience the interactions that arose in each type of case. It was important to be able to clarify and develop the type of information and skills needed to confront each step of the new process. At the same time, this process was monitored by the academic world.  

In addition, the impressive number of monographs written by the legal actors that are participating in the judicial reform shows the magnitude of interest and commitment to the success of the reform.  

25 Several studies were conducted by the Ministry of Justice, CEJA, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Universidad Diego Portales, Universidad de Chile, and Universidad Alberto Hurtado, between 1998 and 2002.

26 For a better appreciation of the relation between goals, resources and the criminal reform in Chile, see generally Alejandro Vera Quilodrán, Transparencia y Reforma Procesal en Chile (2004), available at http://www.dplf.org/CS/span/mx_cs03/mx_cs03_vera.pdf (last visited Apr. 15, 2005).

27 The most recognized programs are offered by Academia Judicial, Universidad de Chile, Universidad Diego Portales School of Law and Universidad Alberto Hurtado School of Law. Professors Mauricio Duce, Cristián Riego, Andrés Baytelman, Héctor Hernández, Rafael Blanco, Mauricio Decap, Ángel Valencia, María Inés Horvitz, Cristián Bofill, Raúl Tavolari, Leonardo Moreno, Antonio Ulloa, Mirtha Ulloa, among others, deserve much credit for the quality of the training processes.


29 See generally MINISTERIO PÚBLICO, EVALUACION DEL TRABAJO DE LOS FISCALES ADJUNTOS DEL MINISTERIO PÚBLICO, Boletín de Jurisprudencia, No. 11 (July 2002).
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E. Generating a higher level of consensus among different political views

The final, and perhaps most important factor, is a sustained political commitment to the reform movement. It is necessary to collectively develop the resources for information gathering, training, monitoring, evaluating, and modifying the process. Reform of this magnitude requires leadership; but beyond this, it requires a high level of political support and consensus as problems arise and adjustments are required.30

IV. Evaluation of the Reform Process

The process of criminal justice reform, as in the case of all political processes, requires periods of evaluation which permit modifications and changes in both strategic planning and day-to-day systemic operation. The idea is to identify advances and successes, as well as challenges, as the process moves onward. Indeed, all such political processes must pass the test of public scrutiny as well as being tested by political scientists and economists. During this period, the administration of President Eduardo Frei and the current administration of President Ricardo Lagos have closely followed the reformation of the Code of Criminal Justice.31 At the same time, there have been ongoing studies by universities and private organizations that have contributed immensely to both a financial and political understanding of the entire process.32 These studies have identified significant successes in this process in the following areas: (a) transparency; (b) speed; (c) contact; (d) orality; (e) due process; (f) impartiality; (g) protection; and (h) professionalism.

A. A greater transparency in the criminal justice system

The reform process has permitted the elimination of the well known practice of not divulging the findings of criminal courts, which impeded access to information. The availability of information often depended on buying information or press leaks.33 In the new system, everything is publicized and can be read.34 Victims, people filing law suits, prosecutors, and defense attorneys all have access to the files. All phases of trials are open to the public. The judges are known and the doors of the courtroom are always open. People are free to enter
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and leave without difficulty. It is not possible to find this level of publicity in any other country in South America, according to comparative studies provided by the Justice Studies Center of the Americas (CEJA). These studies show that in other countries the public is not able to enter courtrooms while court is in session without specific permission. It is even difficult to find out who is in charge or who is working so hard to guard all of this information. The level of public access of the new system is such that the press has access to the proceedings, which will eliminate the practice of buying and selling information and relying on press leaks.

B. A higher level of speed in the process

One of the aspects of the reform that really must be developed and protected is the relative speed of decision making and closing cases. Studies provided by Universidad Diego Portales show that the average duration of a robbery prosecution in Regions IV (Coquimbo) and IX (Temuco) was 3.3 months and 1.3 months in Regions II (Antofagasta), III (Atacama), and VII (Maule). A prosecution for theft cases took 2.5 months in Regions IV (Coquimbo) and IX (Temuco), and 1.1 months in Regions II (Antofagasta), III (Atacama), and VII (Maule). In homicide cases, the figures showed that the duration was generally 3.3 months in Regions IV (Coquimbo) and IX (Temuco), and 3.7 months in Regions II (Antofagasta), III (Atacama), and VII (Maule). These figures included cases under investigation and cases proceeding under the system of prosecutorial discretion. If cases of prosecutorial discretion are deducted, the times increase. However, these figures are still valuable for the sake of openness and the allocation of resources. These figures show that in robbery cases the duration of the case averages 7.7 months if Regions IV (Coquimbo) and IX (Temuco), and 3.2 months in Regions II (Antofagasta), III (Atacama), and VII (Maule). Theft cases average 5.2 months in Regions IV (Coquimbo) and IX (Temuco) and 2.3 months in Regions II (Antofagasta), III (Atacama), and VII (Maule). Trying a homicide case took, on the average, 10.4 months in Regions IV (Coquimbo) and IX (Temuco), and 4.0 months in Regions II (Antofagasta), III (Atacama), and VII (Maule). These figures contrast markedly with the inquisitorial system which in general averaged 3.5 years for a criminal case.

C. Direct contact with the judge

A marked positive change of the reform was the disappearance of intermediaries between the parties and the judge, which was the general rule under

---


36 This information has been shared by professors of Universidad Diego Portales at the Comité de Expertos del Ministerio de Justicia de la Reforma Procesal Penal, and Comité de Expertos del Ministerio del Interior sobre Seguridad Ciudadana. For statistical information and results, see generally BAYTELMAN & DUCE, supra note 28.
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the old system. Now, the actuário is a figure who is no longer on the scene, having been replaced by direct contact between the judge and the victim, the accused and the respective attorneys. This type of contact allows the judge(s) to hear the case from its beginning through its resolution. This completely eliminates the problems that were prevalent in the old system of corrupt actuários and bailiffs who obtained favors. Under the reform, all decisions are made by judges in the presence of the parties.\textsuperscript{37}

D. The replacement of the written system with an oral system

Another aspect which merits discussion is the effective replacement of a system relying on written pleadings to one in which oral argument is the method of advocacy. The new trials are entirely oral and the final decision is made on the basis of evaluating the evidence presented orally. There is even a system of recording the proceedings, whereby the entire trial is recorded onto a CD and made available to all attorneys.

E. Increasing the guarantees of due process for all parties in the proceedings

By providing impartiality to the judiciary, oral trials, immediate access to the judge, and speed to the proceedings, the reform increases the rights of all parties involved in the criminal process, especially those accused by the state. These rights include the presumption of innocence, the right to a speedy trial, the right to a quality defense for those without means to hire an attorney, the right to confront witnesses and evidence, and the right to an honest judge.\textsuperscript{38}

F. An impartial judge

One of the major successes of the reform is the replacement of a system in which the judge investigates, prosecutes and decides the case with one in which the prosecutorial function is placed in the hands of an administrative agency. The judge now has the function of guaranteeing the protection of due process and thus can decide the case objectively rather than subjectively.\textsuperscript{39}

G. Improvement of programs to protect victims and witnesses

Yet another aspect which merits discussion is the treatment the new system affords victims and witnesses; namely the protection and attention offered to them during and after the trial. The inquisitorial system traditionally lacked any type of assistance for victims of crimes or witnesses which gave little incentive for them to testify. In the new system, there are organizations in addition to the


\textsuperscript{38} CÓDIGO PROCESAL PENAL, supra note 13, at bk. 1, tit. VI, para. 4.

\textsuperscript{39} CÓDIGO PROCESAL PENAL, supra note 13, at bk. 1, et. seq.
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Public Ministry that accompany victims and witnesses to court and provide psychological support as well. Other methods of protection are also available such as “panic buttons,” cell phones, police protection for the home, and even witness re-location.  

H. The professionalism of the police  

The final aspect to be mentioned in the process of reform is the higher level of professionalism required among the police. This is intimately linked with the formation of the Public Ministry, which is devoted to criminal investigation. This agency articulates various interests of law enforcement and promotes standards within the bounds of the criminal justice system. Because of this, the police, along with their counterparts in the fields of forensic sciences, will be solely dedicated to the investigation and solving of crime. The results will aid the prosecutors to make decisions to prosecute, suggest sentences, or to dismiss the case.

In this new scenario, in which defense counsel can vigorously question the evidence provided by the police, there will be a heightened dedication on the part of law enforcement to investigate carefully, which will lead to less mishandling or manipulating of evidence throughout the course of an investigation.

V. Challenges to the Reform Process

The following section identifies some problems in the reform process which will act as important challenges to overcome for a successful application in the Metropolitan Region (Santiago).

A. A greater investment in sensitizing and educating the citizenry

All public policy ought to be applied taking into account the connection between the rights and obligations of the citizens. If the people are not permitted an active role in the design, evaluation, and application of a particular policy it will undermine its level of legitimacy and acceptance. The reform was marked, from the beginning, by a highly inclusive and participatory process which gave an ample base for approval, both politically and technically. 

Actually, the origin of this project went back to the work carried out by the civic society. The Corporation for University Promotion (“Corporación de Promoción Universitaria”), a study center linked to the political parties that compose the coalition “Concertación de Partidos por la Democracia” (ruling in the government since 1990) created a canvas with which to craft the reform. Under this umbrella of ideas, the matrix of the reform was created by a group of intellectuals from different universities, which became the draft for the new Code of Criminal Procedure. Subsequently, the Foundation for Citizenry Peace (“Funda-ción Paz Ciudadana”), representing a link to the political right (“Renovación Na-
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cional” and “Unión de Demócratas Independientes”), and more importantly, a link to El Mercurio, the most influential written news outlet in the country, joined in the task. This fortunate “joint venture” by both sides was augmented in the public sector by the Ministry of Justice which gave its approval from the onset.

This strategic alliance made the government of Eduardo Frei confident enough to send the project to Parliament. However, Parliament decided to make some room for debate, and created Forum for the Reform of Criminal Procedure (“Foro de la Reforma Procesal Penal”), headed by the Minister of Justice and comprise of private attorneys, professors from several universities, representatives from the political sector, and other public and private institutions. This forum debated the project on a more technical level where the project was critically examined and modified until finally given life in the text form which was sent to the commissions of the National Congress. During the legislative process, which took more than four years of negotiation, many academic institutions, public organizations, judges, and prosecutors from other countries were heard.

The hearings generated a large amount of publicity and debate, however it was recognized that the project was begun in a closed setting without public participation which would become significantly important when the reform was to be applied and evaluated.

As has been suggested previously, a large part of the legitimacy of the entire justice system, and therefore the reform process, depends upon the support of the people and must be evaluated with the good judgment of the citizens as the institutions are formed. In part this is simply a requirement of democracy, but it is even more imperative when this type of paradigm change is contemplated in which the entire system is being reformed. There are not simply new rules, but new practices, new skill requirements, new relations between citizens and the personnel of the new system; in fact it requires a new cultural vision of the criminal justice system. Therefore, the government needs to hold public hearings in Santiago before full implementation of the reform.

Finally, information is required for citizens to utilize the new services of the justice system. To choose from the options provided by an open system, to legitimately demand to exercise rights, and to submit to the obligations inherent in a criminal justice system, requires information from the beginning and throughout the process.

---

43 With the sponsorship of the most prominent and wealthiest entrepreneurs of Chile, Fundación Paz Ciudadana was created in 1992 (after the negotiation of the liberation of Cristián Edwards, son of Agustín Edwards, by the revolutionary movement Frente Patriótico Manuel Rodríguez). For more information related to Fundación Paz Ciudadana’s efforts to prevent and repress delinquency in Chile, see http://www.pazciudadana.cl/ (last visited Apr. 15, 2005).


45 See generally Nagle, supra note 37 (regarding the cooperative spirit of judicial reform in developing countries in Latin America).
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B. Overcoming the false dilemma between efficiency and due process as irreconcilable in the new criminal process

The reform has created a special agency for prosecution, known as the Public Ministry, which has as its function the organization and direction of criminal investigation, the creation of law enforcement policies, the protection of victims and witnesses and the representation of the public in the criminal system. This agency has developed specialized units for different types of crimes, such as money laundering, narcotics and corruption, as well as units devoted to solving sexual crimes and property crimes. This is the first time in Chilean history that such an agency exists for this purpose. Upon this foundation, sophisticated strategies for dealing with crime can be developed with improved resources. The police can form strategic alliances within the community. All of this can be accomplished without the slightest abrogation of the rights of due process.\textsuperscript{46} In fact, because the Public Ministry provides an objective context and sets limits, there will be more control over police work in general.

C. Tension between judicial function and financial pressures

Another problem which confronts the process of reform is the manner of administrative organization for the flow of cases into courtrooms. It is important that the reform includes a reorganization of the judicial branch which presupposes the professionalization of court administration. There is envisioned a court administrator charged with such jobs as scheduling cases and receiving witnesses and experts. This type of administrator can enable the courts to function efficiently.

The one person courtroom is designed to be an economic approach for the use of human and financial resources. The traditional system had many local rules and regulations which impeded efficient scheduling of hearings. It must not be forgotten that the central idea in the new system of criminal justice is precisely that the work of the judges is to conduct trials in which the complaints and needs of the litigants are recognized and where decisions are made in their presence. Under the new system, judges are not responsible for playing an investigative or prosecutorial role.

This level of transparency and immediate contact between the judge and the parties, will depend, in part, on the administrative capacity to develop procedures which highlight the courtroom as the seat of decision making. One of the jobs of the court administrators will be to reform the old court regulations which varied from courthouse to courthouse, having been promulgated by individual judges. In the new courthouses, administrative functions will be modified sensibly in order to free judges from purely administrative duties so that they can focus their time and energy on matters genuinely judicial.

Given the intense work in these courthouses, it is necessary that the prosecutor’s office develop efficient procedures, especially case distribution among pros-
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cutors. The idea of a case associated with one prosecutor should be abandoned. Instead, the prosecutors should have attorneys dedicated to the selection and screening of cases, and others who do courtroom litigation. This model is in operation in some prosecutor’s offices already and should be expanded throughout the country.

D. Control over the risk of the return of the inquisitorial system

Another challenge for the new system will be the permanent necessity to develop a monitoring system for the reform, a way of setting up an early warning system for possible problems, bad practices or interpretation and application of norms contrary to the objectives of the new system of justice.

Some of the problematic practices which have been occasionally detected were in relation to the presentation of the papers which come into oral trials, which were merely recopies of the investigation. Slowly, the practice evolved so that it was possible to conduct an oral trial with what were termed “relevant papers,” destroying the idea of an oral trial. The whole concept of oral trial is to replace paper with live witnesses and present experts who can be deposed. None of this can be sustained with a written system. In order to avoid the proliferation of paper, the Code should be revised to capitalize on the capability of the oral system to present evidence, present witnesses and to do so entirely without written declaration.

E. The elaboration of a doctrine which successfully protects rights and guarantees, with a minimum of bureaucracy

In effect, the new Code has a system of norms which provide adequate time and space for legal activity, judicial determination, and court administration. All parties are able to develop reasonable standards to measure policy regarding the rights and guarantees of the defendants, standards of proof and standards related with the verdicts in oral trials, among other aspects. Then, the legal actors must develop a balance between the work that will be required by the state agents and the demands of legal efficiency.

VI. Conclusions

The preceding evaluation can be seen as providing a possible vision of measuring the level of success seen in the new model of justice in Chile, as well as challenges without ignoring the need to be aware of reverting to traditional inquisitorial practices.

Researching comparative judicial reform processes allows us to see that such changes always confront serious obstacles on the road to completion, and that some processes have chances of failure. In this article we presented what we think are the key elements in the Chilean cultural transition from an inquisitorial to an adversarial system that serve as an example of re-foundational success in the design of a new administration of justice in a developing country.
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Of course, the transformation requires highly prepared experts in policy making, but also politicians from all sectors, well-trained legal actors and a civil society willing to take the challenges of improving the judiciary, in order to promote democracy and human rights in a country tired of the abuses committed in the past.

There are several challenges to attend to in the future. The consolidation of democracy and the judicial reform in Chile require permanent monitoring, strong leadership and institutional coordination, but also, and more importantly, the cooperation and comprehension of the civil society through the different socio-legal processes that are underway in the thirteen regions of the country as discussed in this article.